

# The Electroweak Vacuum Angle

Pavel Fileviez Pérez and Hiren H. Patel

*Particle and Astro-Particle Physics Division  
Max-Planck Institute for Nuclear Physics (MPIK)  
Saupfercheckweg 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany*

We reveal a new source of CP-violation in the electroweak sector that is free of any experimental bounds, and we highlight the implications for baryogenesis.

Presence of CP-violating interactions is crucial to explain the observed baryon asymmetry of the universe [1]. The standard model features just two independent sources of CP violation: one as a phase in the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix [2, 3], and the other in the form of the QCD vacuum angle,  $\theta_{\text{QCD}}$  [4]. In this letter, we address the possible existence of a new source of CP violation in the electroweak sector in direct analogy with that of the strong sector, which we call the electroweak vacuum angle  $\theta_{\text{EW}}$ .

We demonstrate that in the standard model, the weak vacuum angle can be removed via the Adler-Bell-Jackiw (ABJ) anomaly [5, 6] thereby establishing that it has no physical consequences. We subsequently show that the necessary condition which renders  $\theta_{\text{EW}}$  physical is the presence of simultaneous explicit violations of baryon and lepton conservation. This is in contrast with a previous study Refs. [7, 8], where it is indicated that only explicit baryon number violation is sufficient.

At energies and intensities accessible to modern laboratories, the CP violating effect of  $\theta_{\text{EW}}$  which proceeds via the electroweak instanton is severely suppressed, and offers no hope of measuring the parameter. However, at the high temperatures present in the early universe, sphaleron rates are significantly enhanced, and the electroweak vacuum angle provides a potentially large source of CP violation needed for baryogenesis.

Before discussing the nature of the weak vacuum angle, we briefly review the situation concerning the QCD vacuum angle in the strong sector. As is well-known the CP-violating term in the QCD Lagrangian<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{g_s^2 \theta_{\text{QCD}}}{32\pi^2} G_{\mu\nu}^A \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu A} \quad (1)$$

is a total divergence. Despite that, the term does not vanish on account of the existence of Yang-Mills instanton field configurations [9–11]. But, the ABJ anomaly in the singlet axial current may be invoked to remove  $\theta_{\text{QCD}}$  by a corresponding axial rotation  $q_i \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha\gamma_5} q_i$  and the

Lagrangian changes as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} &= \alpha \partial_\mu J_5^\mu \\ &= -\frac{n_q g_s^2 \alpha}{16\pi^2} G_{\mu\nu}^A \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu A} + 2i\alpha \bar{q} M \gamma_5 q. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

However, with non-zero quark masses the axial symmetry is explicitly broken. Thus, one accomplishes only in transferring  $\theta_{\text{QCD}}$  from the topological term in Eq. (1) into the quark mass matrix, as indicated by the second term in Eq. (2). Clearly, to eliminate  $\theta_{\text{QCD}}$  one must search for a current whose divergence contains *just* the anomaly with no other contributions; and then, make the corresponding field transformation. But, because there are no such currents in QCD, it is impossible to eliminate  $\theta_{\text{QCD}}$ .

In the electroweak sector of the standard model the often-neglected topological term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EW}} = -\frac{g^2 \theta_{\text{EW}}}{32\pi^2} W_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{W}^{\mu\nu a} \quad (3)$$

analogous to Eq. (1) may be included. While the Higgs condensate modifies the EW instanton tunneling rate [12], the basic structure of topological vacua in the SU(2) sector remains unaltered [13].

As in QCD,  $\theta_{\text{EW}}$  can be similarly adjusted if there are currents sensitive to the anomaly in weak isospin. In the standard model, there are the well-known baryon and lepton currents. Because these are classically conserved, under the corresponding vector U(1) transformations

$$Q \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha_B/3} Q, \quad L \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha_L} L, \quad (4)$$

the only change in the Lagrangian arises from the anomaly<sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{EW}} &= \alpha_B \partial_\mu J_B^\mu + \alpha_L \partial_\mu J_L^\mu \\ &= -\frac{n_f g^2}{32\pi^2} (\alpha_B + \alpha_L) W_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{W}^{\mu\nu a}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

amounting to a shift in the electroweak angle

$$\theta_{\text{EW}} \longrightarrow \theta_{\text{EW}} + n_f (\alpha_B + \alpha_L). \quad (6)$$

<sup>1</sup> Our conventions: the metric  $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+, -, -, -)$ , covariant derivative  $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + igTA_\mu$  and the field strength dual tensor:  $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}$  with  $\epsilon^{0123} = +1$ .

<sup>2</sup> For clarity, the contribution to the anomaly from the hypercharge gauge fields are omitted.

Therefore, in the renormalizable standard model, any combination of transformation parameters satisfying  $\alpha_B + \alpha_L = -\theta_{EW}/n_f$  can be chosen to remove the topological term from the Lagrangian.

We now discuss the effect of explicit baryon and lepton number violation. For concreteness, we shall treat the standard model as an effective field theory, and investigate the effect of adding the following operators to the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{BL} = c_1 \frac{HH\ell\ell}{\Lambda} + c_2 \frac{qqq\ell}{\Lambda^2} + \dots \quad (7)$$

Written schematically, the first operator is responsible for Majorana neutrino masses and violates total lepton number; the second operator mediates proton decay and violates baryon and lepton numbers. This time, the four-divergence of the baryonic and leptonic currents acquire additional terms. The variation of the Lagrangian under the transformation given in Eq. (4) is

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{L} = & \alpha_B \partial_\mu J_B^\mu + \alpha_L \partial_\mu J_L^\mu = -\frac{n_f g^2}{32\pi^2} (\alpha_B + \alpha_L) W_{\mu\nu}^a \widetilde{W}^{\mu\nu a} \\ & + i\alpha_B Q \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{BL}}{\partial Q} + i\alpha_L L \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{BL}}{\partial L}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

This time, any choice for  $\alpha_B$  or  $\alpha_L$  would induce a phase in the couplings of the  $B$  and  $L$ -violating interactions, as indicated by the additional terms in Eq. (8). Because there are no other currents in the standard model whose divergence contains *just* the SU(2) anomaly, it is impossible to remove  $\theta_{EW}$ , paralleling the situation in QCD.

We emphasize that explicit non-conservation in only one of either baryon or lepton number is insufficient to render  $\theta_{EW}$  physical because of the additional parameter of transformation offered by the other current which is still conserved. Therefore, only in the case of simultaneous explicit violation of both baryon and lepton number would  $\theta_{EW}$  become a physical phase. This is in contrast with the results in Refs. [7, 8] where they indicate baryon number violation is sufficient.

Here we outline the possible phenomenological implications focusing, for simplicity, on the presence of one  $B + L$  violating operator

$$\frac{\lambda_{ijkl}}{\Lambda_{BL}^2} u_R^i u_R^j d_R^k e_R^l, \quad (9)$$

derived from the GUT theory described in Ref. [14]. The underlying group theoretic structure in the Yukawa coupling implies that the coupling  $\lambda_{ijkl}$  must be antisymmetric under the generation indices  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , and consequently does not mediate proton decay. Therefore, the scale  $\Lambda_{BL} \sim \text{TeV}$  is much smaller than the grand unified scale.

The CP-violating effects of electroweak vacuum angle would appear in physical reactions only through an interference of the non-perturbative instanton process with

the perturbative operator in Eq. (9). An illustrative example of such a reaction is the instanton-mediated  $B + L$ -violating processes at a hadron collider

$$q + q \longrightarrow 3\bar{\ell} + 7\bar{q}. \quad (10)$$

The amplitude of this process takes the approximate form

$$A(qq \rightarrow 3\bar{\ell} + 7\bar{q}) \sim \frac{i\lambda^3}{\Lambda_{BL}^6} + \kappa e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} + i\theta_{EW}}. \quad (11)$$

The first term is the perturbative contribution, third order in Eq. (9). The second term is the instanton mediated non-perturbative contribution containing dependence of the electroweak vacuum angle. Interference among these two terms generates an asymmetry between the process in Eq. (10) and the charge-conjugated one  $\bar{q} + \bar{q} \longrightarrow 3\ell + 7q$ , characterized by the asymmetry parameter

$$\mathcal{A}_{CP} \propto \text{Im}(\lambda^3 e^{i\theta_{EW}}). \quad (12)$$

It is important to notice the basis independence of the asymmetry parameter: a vector transformation in Eq. (4) shifts  $\theta_{EW}$  into the explicit  $B + L$ -violating coupling  $\lambda_{ijkl}$  so that it acquires a complex phase. The value of the asymmetry parameter  $\mathcal{A}_{CP}$ , now the imaginary part of  $\lambda^3$ , remains unchanged.

Unfortunately, any CP-violating effects derived from  $\theta_{EW}$  is expected to be normalized by the enormous semiclassical suppression factor  $e^{-8\pi^2/g^2} \sim 10^{-160}$  together with powers of inverse-cutoff scale  $\lambda_{BL}$ . The prospects for observing effects of  $\theta_{EW}$  in laboratory settings is bleak<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, this also implies the existence of a new source of CP-violation with no phenomenological constraints.

This new source of CP-violation may play a significant role in early universe cosmology in connection to baryogenesis. Following the above discussion we can say that there are two relevant scales: the electroweak scale  $T_{EW} \sim 100 \text{ GeV}$  and the scale of B and L violation  $\Lambda_{BL}$ . The exponential suppression disappears at temperatures above  $T_{EW}$ , and above  $\Lambda_{BL}$ , CP-violating reactions should proceed rapidly. We expect the rate law for baryon number density  $n_B$  to be modified as follows:

$$\frac{dn_B}{dt} + 3Hn_B = -k_{\text{sph}}(T)n_B + \text{Im}(\lambda^3 e^{i\theta_{EW}})f(T), \quad (13)$$

where  $H$  is the Hubble constant,  $k_{\text{sph}}(T)$  is the sphaleron rate, and  $f(T)$  is the effective CP-violating rate, with the asymmetry parameter  $\text{Im}(\lambda^3 e^{i\theta_{EW}})$  explicitly displayed. Thus, at these temperatures  $\theta_{EW}$  is available as a potential source of CP-violation in the early universe that is

<sup>3</sup> We point out, however, that by considering a more inclusive reaction involving the production of W and Higgs bosons, the exponent is corrected by the so-called ‘holy grail function,’ and may mitigate the suppression. See section XIII B in [4] and references therein for further details.

free of any experimental bounds. This avenue for baryogenesis appears to be promising, but requires a detailed investigation.

We conclude this letter with a brief summary. We have pointed out a potentially new source of CP-violation in the electroweak sector, and determined the conditions on

the underlying Lagrangian that renders it physical. We have provided one example of how it may *in principle* show up at collider experiments. Finally, we highlighted its potentially profound role in understanding the origin of the baryon asymmetry of the universe.

- 
- [1] A. D. Sakharov, "Violation of CP Invariance, C Asymmetry, and Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe," *Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **5**, 32 (1967) [*JETP Lett.* **5**, 24 (1967)] [*Sov. Phys. Usp.* **34**, 392 (1991)] [*Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **161**, 61 (1991)].
  - [2] N. Cabibbo, "Unitary Symmetry and Leptonic Decays," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **10** (1963) 531.
  - [3] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, "CP Violation in the Renormalizable Theory of Weak Interaction," *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **49** (1973) 652.
  - [4] See for example T. Schfer and E. V. Shuryak, "Instantons in QCD," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70** (1998) 323 [*hep-ph/9610451*].
  - [5] K. Fujikawa, "Path Integral Measure for Gauge Invariant Fermion Theories," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**, 1195 (1979).
  - [6] K. Fujikawa, "Path Integral for Gauge Theories with Fermions," *Phys. Rev. D* **21**, 2848 (1980) [Erratum-ibid. *D* **22**, 1499 (1980)].
  - [7] A. A. Anselm and A. A. Johansen, "Can electroweak theta term be observable?," *Nucl. Phys. B* **412**, 553 (1994) [*hep-ph/9305271*].
  - [8] A. A. Anselm and A. A. Johansen, "Baryon nonconservation in standard model and Yukawa interaction," *Nucl. Phys. B* **407**, 313 (1993).
  - [9] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, A. S. Schwartz and Y. S. Tyupkin, "Pseudoparticle Solutions of the Yang-Mills Equations," *Phys. Lett. B* **59**, 85 (1975).
  - [10] R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, "Vacuum Periodicity in a Yang-Mills Quantum Theory," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37**, 172 (1976).
  - [11] C. G. Callan, Jr., R. F. Dashen and D. J. Gross, "The Structure of the Gauge Theory Vacuum," *Phys. Lett. B* **63**, 334 (1976).
  - [12] G. 't Hooft, "Computation of the Quantum Effects Due to a Four-Dimensional Pseudoparticle," *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 3432 (1976) [Erratum-ibid. *D* **18**, 2199 (1978)].
  - [13] N. V. Krasnikov, V. A. Rubakov and V. F. Tokarev, "Zero Fermion Modes In Models With Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking," *J. Phys. A* **12**, L343 (1979).
  - [14] I. Dorsner, S. Fajfer, J. F. Kamenik and N. Kosnik, "Light colored scalars from grand unification and the forward-backward asymmetry in  $t\bar{t}$  production," *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 055009 [*arXiv:0912.0972* [*hep-ph*]].